REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed March 26, 2008 for the above-identified

application, the Examiner has alleged that the claims are directed to eight groups of

inventions that are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under

PCT Rule 13.1, and has required election of a single invention.

In order to be responsive to the requirement for restriction, Applicants elect

Group II, Claims 5-8 for continued prosecution and reserve the right to file

divisional applications directed to the subject matter of the non-elected claims.

Claims 5-15 encompass the elected invention.

The Examiner has further alleged that the claims are directed to more than

one species of the generic invention. From the species of contacting, Applicants

elect species 2, "contacting cells in vivo." Claims 1-8, 10-23 and 25-47 are readable

upon the elected species.

The Examiner ahs also required an election of species of cytokine. Applicants

elect species 2, "IL6" which is incorrectly listed in the Office Action as IL8. Claims

1-15 are readable on the elected species.

Page 2 of 3

Serial No. 10/553,170

Reply to Office Action Mailed: 3/26/2008

Attorney Docket No. 102756.58218US

The Examiner has required the election of a species of inflammatory reaction.

Applicants elect species 8, "rheumatoid arthritis". Claims 30-38 are readable on the

elected species.

The Examiner has required the election of a species of autoimmune diseases.

Applicants elect species 1, "insulin dependent diabetes mellitus." Claims 39-47 are

readable on the elected species.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicants are entitled to consideration of

claims to the additional species.

Favorable consideration and allowance of all pending claims is respectfully

requested.

Respectfully submitted,

May 27, 2008

Janet M. MacLeod

Registration No. 35,263

Telephone No.: 212-895-4206 Facsimile No.: 212-223-4134

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300

NYIWDMS: 10501422_1